



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.40, No.40

October 15th, 2004

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Why is it that virtually all "reputable" scholars and mass columnists and commentators reject the cause and effect conspiratorial theory of history? Primarily, most scholars follow the crowd in the academic world just as women follow fashions. To buck the tide means professional ostracism. The same is true of the mass media.

While professors and pontificators profess to be tolerant and broadminded, in practice it's strictly a one-way street – with all traffic flowing left. A Maoist tolerated by Liberals of Ivory Towerland or by the by the Establishment's media pundits, but to be a conservative, conservative who propounds a conspiratorial view, is absolutely *verboten*.

These people have over the years acquired a vested emotional interest in their own errors. Their intellects and egos are totally committed to the accidental theory. Most people are highly reluctant to admit that they have been conned or have shown poor judgement. It takes a person with strong character indeed to face the facts and admit he has been wrong even if it was because he was uninformed.

– "*None Dare Call It Conspiracy*" by Gary Allen, 1971

THE 'LEFT' THE 'RIGHT' AND THE TRUTH by Betty Luks:

It appears *Sydney Morning Herald* (SMH) journalist, Margo Kingston, is in the 'bad books' with the Jewish journal *The Review*, September 2004, for comments she made in "*Not Happy, John*" and on the SMH website, in relation to the fundamentalist Zionist lobby's control of politics and the media in the US and Australia (July 22nd).

From the thrust of the article, it would seem she is smarting from the backlash she has received.

The Review journal states: "She wrote, "I did not mean what many people believed I meant. I am not antisemitic, and I thought what I wrote was a statement of fact." Later, after explaining she only meant the "fundamentalist Zionist" subset, she said, "As to my belief that powerful members of this subset control politics and the media, I thought this was stating a fact. I thought it was well known that in the US no politician wanting re-election would speak out about the excesses of current Israeli policy. I thought the relentless intimidation of the media by Australia's AIJAC was commonly accepted." Finally, she wrote to a critical correspondent, "I admit I'm at a loss to understand the antisemitic charge...I'd really appreciate your advice on this – it seemed so uncontroversial when I wrote it – I suppose because I mix largely with left wing Jewish Australians."

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *The 'Left' The 'Right' And The Truth* • *Are We Going To Let The Revolution 'Roll On'?* • *Doctor De-Registered After Helping Cancer Patient* • *Congresswoman Seeks Re-Enlistment Probe*

"ON TARGET"

October 15th, 2004

Page 1 (157)

Margo Kingston found herself on the receiving end of an attack; not unlike that which many an individual has suffered after daring to lift his head above the parapet and publicly express what many talk about in private. Those brave enough to speak out are branded with the usual psycho-political swear words intended to frighten and intimidate, e.g., 'anti-semitic', 'extreme right-wing', and now 'extreme left-wing'.


The political 'horseshoe': But it was the analogy used which interested me. It seems presidential candidate Ralph Nader has also lifted his head above the parapet and received a barrage of politically-correct abuse.

The Review wrote of what Nader said: "The days when the chief Israeli puppeteer comes to the United States and meets with the puppet in the White House and then proceeds to capital hill where he meets with hundreds of other puppets, should be replaced." When the Anti-Defamation League wrote to Nader and asked him to reconsider, he wrote back refusing and adding, "As for the metaphors – puppeteer and puppets – the Romans had a phrase for the obvious – *res ipsa loquitor* [the thing speaks for itself]" and "The Israelis have a joke for the obvious – that the United States is the second state of Israel."

The Washington Post took 'left-winger' Ralph Nader to task for his remarks and claimed they were "virtually indistinguishable from statements" made by 'right-wing' white supremacists – thus linking the 'left-wing' up with the 'right-wing' of politics.

A false analogy: But the analogy of the 'left' and the 'right' of politics now forming a horseshoe presents a false concept in people's minds. A far better analogy – and nearer to the truth is the following.

Imagine a straight line rather than the 'curved horseshoe' analogy.



At the left is anarchy or lawlessness; law and order has broken down altogether. Some would say that is also a tyranny – without law and order people also fear for their lives.

Then a little to the right is *Limited* government; government is bound by a system of rules – a Constitution.

Then as we proceed further and further to the right we see a people progressively bound and controlled, more and more, by a tyrannical government – no matter what name it is given. Finally, on the right hand side of the straight line we have a complete tyranny.

Didn't Shakespeare remind us, "a rose is still a rose" by any other name?

Modern party-politics sets out to divide truth and set the citizens one against the other – to divide and rule. It is time the Australian people woke up to the fact and refused to let venal politicians use them as vehicles for control and power over them.

ARE WE GOING TO LET THE REVOLUTION 'ROLL ON'? Before reading the following news of Dr. Eckard Roehrich's de-registration please give careful thought to your own understanding of your relationship to the 'state' – that which now claims to be the "universal Lord". The power wielders in the western world are determined to replace the Christian beliefs with a creed which is more suited to this 'new obedience', a creed which does not insist on the fact that the individual is answerable for his soul to God alone, and is not the property of any earthly master – **not even of the state**. The Revolution aims to get rid of the bonds, completely, between the people and their ancient sanctity, and at the same time to involve the state, as a 'mystical presence', in all the deepest ties and aspirations.

It is to the Revolution that we can trace the new kind of "civil marriage", as well as to *"the idea that children don't 'belong' to their parents, but to the state."*

DOCTOR DE-REGISTERED AFTER HELPING CANCER PATIENT: The following alarming news of Dr. Eckard Roehrich's de-registration should be of concern to us all. If the man, as a physician, has performed his duties honourably and to the best of his abilities; and the parents choose to have him to advise and care for their daughter – what has that to do with some bureaucrat? Who gave the bureaucrat the power to dictate to the parents what type of medical care they must give their daughter and who should perform it?

The issue is this: Do our children belong to the state as Marxists would have us believe? Or, do they belong to God and parents are responsible for them to Him while they are still young?

How far do we go in our obedience to Caesar and where do our duties to God fit into this whole scenario? Read on:

"Doctors around the world are being deregistered in droves by their respective medical boards for doing nothing more than helping their patients with nutritional and naturally based treatments.

Recent de-registrants include notable pioneers in natural treatments including Dr. Durrant-Peatfield, a UK doctor specializing in natural therapies for thyroid, adrenal and chronic fatigue conditions, and Dr. Serafina Corsello a respected holistic physician. Among those relentlessly harassed by their medical boards were: Dr. Revici, an internationally respected pioneer in the treatment of cancer by nutritional and natural means; Dr. Warren Levine, who founded the first Holistic Health Centre in New York having helped thousands of patients during the nearly 30 years he was in practice; Dr. Nicholas Gonzales, also deregistered, had been granted a sizeable sum by the National Cancer Institute to conduct testing on alternative cancer therapy when the Board's action pulled the plug on the research. The medical board of New Jersey deregistered Dr. Eric R. Braverman MD, clinician and author, after authorities noted his unique research and his success in treating serious conditions with holistic therapies.

In Australia, Dr. Eckard Roehrich was deregistered on September 21st, 2004, after he gave testimony in court on behalf of a family whose 11-year-old daughter was forced to have chemotherapy against her wishes and those of her parents. The child's treating oncologist at the John Hunter Hospital in Newcastle contacted the Department of Community Services which instigated the forced treatment by way of a court order.

Dr. Roehrich, the family's primary physician practiced integrative medicine, a combination of orthodox, complementary and evidence-based alternative approaches to medicine. He had made several attempts to gain humanitarian concessions for the child who was incarcerated in the hospital without privileges such as a familiar diet, nutritional supplements, regular walks out doors and visits from friends. The hospital oncologist however, would not allow these concessions. On one occasion, when her parents'

visiting hours were severely restricted by the welfare department, the girl tried to escape the hospital and was returned to the ward and put on 24 hour watch.

The New South Wales Medical Board: Not long after his court appearance on behalf of the child and her family, Dr. Roehrich began to receive letters from the New South Wales Medical Board. The Board notified him that its delegates intended entering the doctor's clinic to inspect his records and premises. When the doctor required the Board to provide him with a reason for its intended entry into his clinic without his consent, he was hauled up before a special committee. After a short deliberation the 3 member New South Wales Medical Board Committee revoked his licence to practice medicine on September 21st, 2004. The Board allotted 3 days for Dr. Roehrich to wind up his practice leaving his over 1,000 patients without medical care. The doctor's clinic was located in an area of acute doctor shortage. Many of his seriously ill patients were in the middle of treatments which had started to improve their health.

The doctor's deregistration has caused a community backlash against the Medical Board. Already hundreds of patients have contacted the doctor's clinic vowing their support for him.

The NSW Medical Board's action against Dr. Roehrich comes after its decision earlier in the year to clear four doctors who were investigated for poor practice after 14 deaths occurred at the Campbelltown and Camden Hospitals west of Sydney. According to a *Sydney Morning Herald* article on 23/1/04 the Medical Board cleared the doctors even before a special inquiry could complete its investigations into the deaths.

Dr. Roehrich maintains he was intensively targeted by the Medical Board shortly after he testified for the family that was besieged by the department of community services. He maintains when the welfare department forced the child to have chemotherapy, he felt it was his duty to help the family to reclaim their right to choose any valid treatment options for their child.

The Medical Board has not provided Dr. Roehrich with specific reasons for its suspension of his licence. He has written to the Board and telephoned them to request this information.

Editor's note: The full story of this Christian family's battle with the state authorities is on the League's website www.alor.org/ under the section Australian Women on Line.

We are advised – Interviews about the issue are available on request. Contact: evhillary@smartchat.net.au

CONGRESSWOMAN SEEKS RE-ENLISTMENT PROBE: A Colorado congresswoman is seeking a congressional investigation into allegations that Iraqi war veterans near the end of their enlistments were given a choice between re-enlisting or being sent back to Iraq.

Democratic Rep. Diana Degette, in a letter to the House Armed Services Committee Chairman, Duncan Hunter, R-Calif., asked him Monday to look into whether the "White House or civilian Pentagon officials are pressuring the military to use coercive tactics to get soldiers to re-enlist in order to maintain the force levels necessary to fight the war in Iraq and war on terror."